Abstract – This designed is just a prototype of an actual Search Engine. It crawls one website at a time. Also, the External Links (links that are not a part of the website being crawled), are not crawled by our prototype. So, an effort can be made in this area so as to crawl all the links present in a webpage, including the external links. We develop a system which will concern on categories when we search something on net then it gives multiple choices but suppose we want only relevant choice then we have to go through multiple. So in that case we divide the choices in categories to make the crawler efficient
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I. INTRODUCTION

The World Wide Web (abbreviated as WWW or W3, commonly known as the web), is a system of interconnected hypertext documents accessed via the Internet. With a web browser, one can view web pages that may contain text, images, videos, and other multimedia, and navigate between them via hyperlinks.

The terms Internet and World Wide Web are often used in everyday speech without much distinction (as can be seen in the several subcategories below, titled "Internet ..." that should be "World Wide Web ..."). However, the Internet and the World Wide Web are not one and the same. The Internet is a global data communications system. It is a hardware and software infrastructure that provides connectivity between computers. Simply, "Internet is a network of networks", where two or more than two computers connected through the wired or wireless network for sending and receiving the data like emails, video, song etc. In contrast, the Web is one of the services communicated via the Internet. The Web is a collection of interconnected documents and other resources, linked by hyperlinks and URLs. Other services using the internet include electronic mail, File Transfer Protocol, Telnet, online chat, Voice over Internet Protocol, Instant messaging, Fax, and Usenet.

Focused crawling is a web crawler that collects Web pages that satisfy some specific property, by carefully prioritizing the crawl frontier and managing the hyperlink exploration process. Some predicates may be based on simple, deterministic and surface properties. For example, a crawler's mission may be to crawl pages from only the .jp domain. Other predicates may be softer or comparative, e.g., "crawl pages with large PageRank", or "crawl pages about baseball". An important page property pertains to topics, leading to topical crawlers. For example, a topical crawler may be deployed to collect pages about solar power, or swine flu, while minimizing resources spent fetching pages on other topics. Crawl frontier management may not be the only device used by focused crawlers; they may use a Web directory, an Web text index, backlinks, or any other Web artifact.

A focused crawler must predict the probability that an unvisited page will be relevant before actually downloading the page. A possible predictor is the anchor text of links; this was the approach taken by Pinkerton [3] in a crawler developed in the early days of the Web.
Based on the discussion so far, we can summarize the role of the focused crawler in the following terms. We are given a directed hypertext graph $G$ whose nodes are physically distributed. In this paper, $G$ is the web. There is a cost for visiting any vertex (web page) of $G$. There is also a tree-shaped hierarchical topic directory $C$ such as Yahoo!. Each topic node $c \in C$ refers to some pages in $G$ as examples. We denote the examples associated with topic $c$ as $D(c)$. These pages can be preprocessed as desired by the system. The user’s interest is characterized by a subset of topics $C' \subseteq C$ that is marked good. No good topic is an ancestor of another good topic. Ancestors of good topics are called path topics. Given a web page $q$, a measure of relevance $R_c(q)$ of $q$ w.r.t. $C'$, together with a method for computing it, must be specified to the system. $C'$ will be omitted if clear from the context. In this paper, we will use a probability measure $0 \leq R(q) \leq 1$. By definition, $R_{\text{root}}(q) = 1$ "$q$. If $\{c_i\}$ are children of $c_0$, then $R_{c_i}(q) = R_{c_0}(q)$. The system starts by visiting all pages in $D(C')$. In each step, the system can inspect its current set $V$ of visited pages and then choose to visit an unvisited page from the crawl frontier, corresponding to a hyperlink on one or more visited pages. Informally, the goal is to visit as many relevant pages and as few irrelevant pages as possible, i.e., to maximize average relevance. Therefore we seek to find $V \in D(C')$ such that $\sum \frac{R(v)}{|V|}$ is maximized.

Our formulation would pose a hopeless problem if pages of all topics were finely dispersed all over the web. However, this is not likely to be the case. Citations signify deliberate judgment by the page author. Although some fraction of citations are noisy, most citations are to semantically related material. Thus the relevance of a page is a reasonable indicator of the relevance of its neighbors, although the reliability of this rule falls off rapidly with increasing radius on an average. This explains our use of the classifier. Secondly, multiple citations from a single document are likely to cite semantically related documents as well. This is why the distiller is used to identify pages with large numbers of links to relevant pages.

II. TYPES OF CRAWLER

1. Standard Crawling
2. Focus crawling

Figure 2 a) A standard crawler follows each link, typically applying a breadth first strategy. If the crawler starts from a document which is $i$ steps from a target document, all the documents that are up to $i$ steps from the starting document must be downloaded before the crawler hits the target. Figure 2 b) A focused crawler tries to identify the most promising links, and ignores off-topic documents. If the crawler starts from a document which is $i$ steps from a target document, it downloads a small subset of all the documents that are up to $i$-1 steps from the starting document. If the search strategy is optimal the crawler takes only $i$ steps to discover the target. A focused crawler efficiently seeks out documents about a specific topic and guides the search based on both the content and link structure of the web. Figure 1 graphically illustrates the difference between an exhaustive breadth first crawler and a typical focused crawler. A focused crawler implements a strategy that associates a score with each link in the pages it has downloaded. The links are sorted according to the scores and inserted in a queue. A best first search is performed by popping the next page to analyze from the head of the queue. This strategy ensures that the crawler preferentially pursues promising crawl paths.

III. CONTEXT FOCUSED CRAWLER

Our focused crawler, which we call the Context Focused Crawler (CFC), uses the limited capability of search engines like AltaVista or Google to allow users to query for pages linking to a specified document. This data can be used to construct a representation of pages that occur within a certain link distance (defined as the minimum number of link traversals necessary to move from one page to another) of the target documents. This representation is used to train a set of classifiers, which are optimized to detect and assign documents to different categories based on the expected link distance from the document to the target document. During the crawling stage the classifiers are used to predict how many steps away from a target document the current retrieved document is likely to be. This information is then used to optimize the search.

There are two distinct stages to using the algorithm when performing a focused crawl session:

1. An initialization phase when a set of context graphs and associated classifiers are constructed for each of the seed documents
2. A crawling phase that uses the classifiers to guide the search, and performs online updating of the context graphs.
two phrases have the same number of occurrences in a document, the TF-IDF value of the less common phrase will be higher. The TF-IDF score $v(w)$ of a phrase $w$ is computed using the following function:

$$v(w) = \frac{f_d(w)}{f_d \text{max}} \log \frac{N}{f(w)}$$

where $f_d(w)$ is the number of occurrences of $w$ in a document $d$, $f_d \text{max}$ is the maximum number of occurrences of a phrase in a document $d$, $N$ is the number of documents in the reference corpus and $f(w)$ is the number of documents in the corpus where the phrase $w$ occurs at least once. We implement TF-IDF using the following steps. All the documents in the seed set, as well as optionally, the first layer, are concatenated into a single master document.

1. All stop-words such as “by”, “and”, or “at” are removed from the master document
2. Word stemming is performed to remove common word transformations, such as plurals or case changes [16];
3. TF-IDF computation is performed using a reference corpus derived from an exhaustive web crawl.

$$P(w_j|c_i) = \frac{1 + \sum_{d_i \in D} N(w_j, d_i) P(c_j|d_i)}{|V| + \sum_{d_i \in D} \sum_{j=1}^{|V|} N(w_j, d_i) P(c_j|d_i)}$$

(4)

where $N(wt,d_i)$ is the number of occurrences of $wt$ in the document $di$ and $|V|$ is the number of phrases in the vocabulary.

IV CONCLUSION

The categorised crawling is used to divide the more choices into different categories. Through the categorised crawling we can find easily the relevant choice. This is very easy way to find that choice which we want to find. The latest search engines that are present in the market have an ability to crawl multiple websites simultaneously. Our prototype can be expanded to incorporate multiple crawlers, crawling multiple WebPages simultaneously. Various complex algorithms can also be used to make the search fast for the user.
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