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Abstract—Today Network Defense mechanism is urging requirement of software industry. Dynamic Threat Evolution and changing nature of threats require policy based network security Mechanism. Numerous research techniques exits on network security but fail to handle new threats. This lead to development of software defined network and Open Flow Technology for policy based security. Device level configuration is issue and requires automated technique, software controller to manage devices. This manuscript presents survey on Open sec security technology. Open sec assist to implement security measures in human readable language. Intrusion detection system, data packet detection and other techniques is time complex. This article focuses to examine different methods present in SDN and find correct technique to enhance security measures for networks.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Now a days software defined networks (SDN) and open flow are becoming more and more popular and thus started gaining lots of attention. Previously manual configuration was needed for the multiple devices which is then replaced by automatic systems where software based approaches are being used to handle all such configurations.[5,10] In SDN complexity of the network topology is switched towards the controller and this shifting introduces the simplicity and the abstraction to the network layer. The main reason behind the simplicity is SDN removes separates the data plane from the control plane and then shifts the former to the software based network controller which has been centralized placed.[7] Due to these arrangements it gets easy to implement more complex network applications. Also due to the good arrangements they are very much aware to the networks.

Previous networking architecture is facing lots of issues like complexity which brings statics, inconsistent polices, inability to scale, vendor dependence etc.[3] so SDN overcome all these issues by offering the various plus points over normal networks. Lots of advantages are being offered by SDN such as flexibility, automation, visibility, revenue generation, centrally managed, programmatically configured etc[10]

As the controller is having the central view of the SDN network, it manages the security of the network. To impose the extra security on the network moving target defense (MTD) algorithms can be used. As the SDN applications are most probably deployed on large scale, so it requires checking of programming errors that may arrive. So to do this a system called NICE is implemented in 2012[7].

OpenFlow is a communication protocol used to have access to forwarding plane of switches or routers that can be used over the network. It starts the controllers so that they can determine the path of network packets which are flying on the switches networks. One of the great advantages of the OpenFlow is that it allows the switches from the different vendors.[8] By using OpenFlow a remote administration of switch’s packet residing on layer 3 is possible. This can be done by adding, altering or deleting the packets that exact matches with the actions and the set protocols. By doing this routing decisions can be made continuously by the network controllers on said interval of time. While this processing, some of the packets found unmatched with the switch, so these unmatched packets can be fed back to the controller.[2,3] On getting these packets then controller decides whether to add new
rules or change the one or many rules or changes the switches etc., thus to prevents the structural flow of the packets between the switch and the controller.

The birth of OpenFlow is at Standford University in 2008. While in December 2009 Open flow 1.0n version was released. OpenFlow is being managed by the ONF i.e. Open networking foundation. ONF is a user led organization which is completely dedicated to SDN adoptions and open standards. The location of the OpenFlow is on top of the transmission control protocol and it makes use of port no 6653 for listening. Before that it makes use of port number 6633. So while working on OpenFlow environment any device which wants to communicate with SDN controller must need to support SDN controller. After open source release multiple companies like cisco, brocade started offering an open source controllers. As the network is huge in size network management is need to be done. So for the purpose of network management and security various works like policy specification, policy refinement, conflict detection, policy analysis in networks were proposed[4].

OpenSec is OpenFlow based network security framework which enable the campus operators to impose the security conditions across the networks. [4]As the OpenSec provides an network abstraction the campus operators can focus completely on providing simple and more scalable security policies.[5]
The paper is been organized and presented in six major sections include Introduction, Literature review, problem definition, solution, conclusion and future work.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY
Network arrangement and setting up requires extremely capable personnel expert at design of various network fundamentals. In where communications among network switches or routers is complex a additional system specific approach to complete work is required, achieving this in current programming interface of networking is difficult[1]. To overcome this SDN are required which have four key features centralized controller, open interface, separation of control plane from data and programmability. performance, interoperability, security and scalability are current issues of SDN. Extended research would be designing better SDN designs,[1]. security is main factor required to be addressed in SDN, author presents observation to build secure and dependable SDN through better design[2]. work can be extended to design SDN with control platform for secure networking. managing Networking is challenge. low level vendor configuration and high level interface add up to problems[3]. three common issues in network management are enabling frequent changes to, support in high level language, network trouble shoot, with Advent of SBN it is possible to handle this issues effectively, even though SDN need to handle changing network state and lower device configuration effectively which can be adopted for current research goals[5]. plan of Configurable networks has recently re-gained substantial impetus due to appearance of SDN platform. SDN are often termed to a radical new scheme in networking domain promising to considerably signifying network organization and enable novelty through system programmability. [4] reviews existing technologies in programmable networks with an importance on SDN. work[4] offer a historic viewpoint of configurable networks from premature concept to current developments additionally present SDN design and OpenFlow standard in picky current option for completion and testing of SDN protocol and services, inspect current and future SDN works and discover capable research path based on SDN platform[4]. main SDN facilitating technology currently being applied in networking hardware is Open Flow standard. open flow remains active area of work in SDN development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software Switch</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Overview</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open vSwitch</td>
<td>C/Python</td>
<td>Open source software switch that aims to implement a switch platform v1.0 virtualized server environments. Supports standard management interfaces and enables programmatic extension and control of forwarding functions. Can be ported into ASIC switches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantou/ OpenWR T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Turns a commercial wireless router or Access Point into an OpenFlow-enabled switch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofsoftswitch13</td>
<td>C/C++</td>
<td>OpenFlow L3 compatible user-space software switch implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigo</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Open source OpenFlow implementation that runs on physical switches and uses hardware features of Ethernet switch ASICs to run OpenFlow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Controllers used in SDN implementation are simultaneously vital research part. Effective development of SDN largely depends on Controllers used in deployment following table reviews
n numerous controls their implementation language and support characteristics. Table II presents review on controllers and has been incorporated from [3]

Table II
Current controller implementations compliant with the open flow standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controller</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Overview</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOX</td>
<td>Python</td>
<td>General, open-source SDN controller written in Python</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOX</td>
<td>Python/C++</td>
<td>The first OpenFlow controller written in Python and C++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUL</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>OpenFlow controller that has a C-based multi-threaded infrastructure at its core.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maestro</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>Network operating system based on Java provides interfaces or implementing modular network control applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trema</td>
<td>Ruby/C</td>
<td>Framework for developing OpenFlow controllers written in Ruby and C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beacon</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>A cross-platform, modular, Java-based OpenFlow controller that supports event-based and threaded operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaxon</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>A Java-based OpenFlow controller based on NOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helios</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>An extensible C-based OpenFlow controller that provides a programmatic shell for performing integrated experiments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAC</td>
<td>C++</td>
<td>An OpenFlow controller based on NOX-0.4, which uses a web-based, user-friendly policy manager to manage network, configure devices, and monitor events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryu</td>
<td>Python</td>
<td>SDN operating system that aims to provide logically centralized control and APIs to create new network management and control applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NodeFlow</td>
<td>JavaScript</td>
<td>An OpenFlow controller written in JavaScript for Node.js</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route Flow</td>
<td>C++</td>
<td>Special-controller implementation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PMAC(Policy Management for Autonomic Computing) platform is been presented in [6]. PMAC and system model for interaction between resources and policy manager is been presented. Policy creation, policy storage and evaluation on practical conditions is presented. PMAC reduces administrator efforts with methodology to enforce new security measures. Furture enhanced design can be integrated to make system better in [6]. The varied nature of application, methods and tools which current networks support is making management of infrastructures a compound task. SDN platform has come out as a hopeful key to lessen this complexity via formation of a combined organized plane free of specific seller gear. Though scheming SDN solution in network store organization lift numerous challenge as it must show plasticity, scalability and flexibility. [7] present SDN organization and control structure for fixed network that give support static and dynamic resource management. Framework contains 3 layers that interrelate with every other via a set of interface. [7] developed a placement procedure code to conclude portion of managers and controllers in proposed dispersed layer. Layer could satisfy requirements of two exact application for adaptive load balance and energy organization purposes. [7] Work could be enhanced with optimization placement algorithm and reducing costs and constraints like cluster numbers etc. ever-growing size of scientific information has turn out to be a major issue for scholars rely on networks to relate with remote systems and shift consequences to associate worldwide. In spite of availability of elevated-capacity associations scholars struggle with insufficient virtual resources that takedown data transmit recital, and impedes scientific development work [8]. Science DMZ platform encompass a established place of system design patterns that communally lecture to these troubles for scholars. Science DMZ prototype is been detailed it network architecture, configuration, security, and performance tools, discovery. Future design patterns of DMZ are efficient needs to studied in depth for better output.

A important amount of work has engrossed on policy description policy refinement [11], [12], [15], conflict discovery [16][17] and policy analysis [17] in networks. PBM(Policy-based management) has also been smeared to network controlling and security [17] deliver an outline of how policy-based administration could be applied to networked systems. Particularly they elucidate how PMAC(Policy Management for Autonomic Computing) could be applied to network management. PMAC is a generic policy middleware that chains widespread and elastic policy lingos. A PDT(Policy Definition Tool) should be provided to allow users to create and modify policies. Lastly a robotic administrator is accountable for collecting policies and realizing those [14] propose a method to refine policies in policy-based management systems.
Policy refinement allows deriving low-level enforceable policies from high level guidelines. The authors provide a list of steps needed to convert high-level goals into low-level policies and describe a framework that supports all the required steps. [15] address conflict resolution in PBM, a crucial aspect when managing a system using policies. Indeed, as the authors point out, when several policies co-exist it is likely to encounter that two or more policies give a different output for the same input. [20] Addresses difficult of conflict tenacity using procedures to deliver QoS. OpenSec is alike to these techniques in that it proposes a centralized system adept of getting rules as input and examining them, trying for conflicts and applying them.

III. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Above research article is literature review on software defined networks, which presents open issues and work area in network defined on software policy. Large scope of work is present in SDN domain. Section II focus on finding them. Future work is to design and implement SDN with optimal solution.
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