Implementation of Adaptive Wavelet Thresholding and Non-local Means for Medical Image Enhancement for Noise Reduction
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Abstract: Images are most widely used for radiological diagnosis in medical examinations. The presence of artifacts and noise in images causes the difficulty in medical diagnosis. The noises are generally occurred and corrupt an image during its acquisition or transmission. Image denoising is one of the popular methods with an aim of noise reduction to retain images quality. In this paper, Wavelet based noise reduction technique is proposed to improve image quality where thresholding and Non-local means algorithm are applied. The Noisy medical image is decomposed using DWT, where approximation part is filtered using Non-local means filter and detail parts are filtered by the thresholding. By using the level dependent, the wavelet coefficients are calculated using optimal linear interpolation shrinkage function. Denoised image is acquired using inverse DWT. The value of the peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) is used as the measure of image visual quality.
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I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Digital image processing plays a key role in medical diagnosis. Medical images are obtained and analyzed to determine the presence or absence of abnormalities such as tumor, which is vital in understanding the type and magnitude of a disease. Unfortunately, medical images are susceptible to impulse noise during acquisition, storage and transmission. Hence, image denoising is a primary precursor for medical image analysis tasks. Conventional smoothing filters and median filters are the most popular filters for noise reduction in digital images. But, a single smoothing or median filter is not enough for completely removing the noise, especially when the noise level is high. Also, it may not preserve image details such as edges during filtering. This is a serious issue in medical image analysis because loss of image details results in inaccurate image analysis which may prove fatal to the life of a person. Applying a set of denoising and enhancement filters successively on a noisy image may remove noise and preserve image details much more efficiently than a single median or smoothing filter. We proposed an approach which is used to enhance a medical image by using Haar and db3 wavelet transform, by selecting soft and hard thresholding level and thus reducing the noise. In this paper, unwanted noisy components can be thresholded without affecting the significant features of the image. We calculate PSNR (Peak Signal To Noise Ratio) and MSE (Mean Square Error) by using these two orthogonal wavelets and then compare the resultants.

II. CLASSIFICATION OF DENOISING

Digital images play vital role in day to day applications. Noise is introduced in the images during transmission and acquiring from cameras. Any unwanted signal and its electrical interference and blur due to camera movement, environmental conditions like rain, snow, and sampling and quantization errors could be considered as noise. In other terms one person’s signal might be another person’s noise. The noise complicates the post process of compression and other image processing tasks. So it becomes very essential to remove noise for better interpretation by human eyes. There are two types of noise namely multiplicative noise and additive noise. The multiplicative noise is generally complex model and caused by de-phased echo signals from scatters. It is image dependent and difficult to reduce noise though it contains useful information.

There are other kinds of noise called additive noise which is systematic and easy to model and noise can be removed with less effort.

An additive noise model is represented as

\[ z(x, y) = s(x, y) + n(x, y) \]

The multiplicative noise satisfies

\[ z(x, y) = s(x, y) \times n(x, y) \]

where \( s(x,y) \) is the original signal information, \( n(x,y) \) represents the noise introduced into the signal and the output produced the corrupted image \( z(x,y) \), and \( (x,y) \) represents the pixel location. Image addition finds applications in image morphing. The multiplication alters the brightness of the image.
2.1 Image Noise Types:

a) Gaussian Noise
The standard model of amplifier noise is Gaussian, additive, free at each pixel, and free of signal intensity caused mostly by thermal noise, including what comes from rearranging capacitors. In color cameras where additional amplification is used there can be more noise in the channel. Amplifier noise is a most important component of the “read noise” of an image sensor.

b) Salt-and-Pepper Noise:
Fat-tailed distributed or “impulsive” noise is sometimes called as salt-and-pepper noise. An image containing salt-and-pepper noise will have dark pixels in bright regions and bright pixels in dark regions. This type of noise can be caused by ADC errors, bit errors in transmission, etc. lifeless pixels in an LCD screen produce a like, but non-random, display. This can be eliminated in huge part by using dark/bright pixels.

c) Poisson Noise:
The dominant noise in the lighter parts of the image from a sensor is characteristically that caused by statistical quantum changes, i.e., variety in the measure clean s

2.2 Image Denoising
Filtering is perhaps the most fundamental process of image processing and computer vision. In the broad sense of term “filtering”, “a noise has the characteristic of multiplicative noise and obeys distribution given as:

\[ f(g) = \left( \frac{g^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha - 1)! \alpha^\alpha} \right) e^{-g/\alpha} \]

Where variance is a 2\(\alpha\) and g is the gray level.

\[ \text{Step 1: Perform discrete wavelet transform (DWT) of medical image corrupted by Gaussian noise to obtain approximation and detail parts.} \]

\[ \text{Step 2: Estimate decomposition level by using log energy.} \]

\[ \text{Step 3: Apply adaptive wavelet based thresholding over the detail parts.} \]

\[(i)\quad \text{Compute the threshold value for each sub-band in all levels.}\]

\[(ii)\quad \text{Apply Threshold to all sub-band’s coefficients using the optimum linear interpolation threshold function.}\]
Step 4: Apply Non-local Means method over approximation part.


In the above algorithm, the two way denoising method is used. One part (approximation) is denoised by Non-local means and other part (detail) is denoised by thresholding using optimum linear interpolation method. Using both results, reconstruction is done to get the final denoised image.

3.1 Extraction of Decomposition level

After defining cost function, the wavelet packet decomposition is done with following steps:

1) Firstly, set the maximum number of levels.
2) For each level, decompose into four sub-bands (child nodes).
3) Compute cost value for each sub-band for each level.
4) In top down approach manner, Check the cost value:
   a) If the cost of parent node is greater than total cost of child nodes; do continue.
   b) Otherwise; eliminate children nodes.
5) End the process, if there is no node to decompose.

3.2 Image denoising by Non-local Means

Each pixel $p$ of the non-local means denoised image is computed with the following formula:

$$NL(V)(p) = \sum_{q \in V} w(p,q)V(q)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

Where $V$ is the noisy image, and weights $w(p,q)$ meet the following conditions $0 \leq w(p,q) \leq 1$ and $\sum_q w(p,q) = 1$. Each pixel is a weighted average of all the pixels in the image. The weights are based on the similarity between the neighborhoods of pixels $p$ and $q$. For example, in Figure 4.1 above the weight $w(p,q_i)$ is much greater than $w(p,q_2)$ because pixels $p$ and $q_1$ have similar neighborhoods and pixels $p$ and $q_2$ do not have similar neighborhoods. In order to compute the similarity, a neighborhood must be defined. Let $N_i$ be the square neighborhood centered about pixel $i$ with a user-defined radius $R_{sim}$. To compute the similarity between two neighborhoods take the weighted sum of squares difference between the two neighborhoods or as a formula:

$$d(p,q) = ||V(N_p) - V(N_q)||_2^2, F[1, 2]$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

$F$ is the neighborhood filter applied to the squared difference of the neighborhoods and will be further discussed later in this section. The weights can then be computed using the following formula:

$$w(p,q) = \left(\frac{1}{Z(p)}\right)e^{-\frac{d(p,q)}{h}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

$Z(p)$ is the normalizing constant defined as:

$$Z(p) = \frac{\sum_{q} e^{-\frac{d(p,q)}{h}}}{Z(p)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

Where $h$ is the weight-decay control parameter.

As previously mentioned, $F$ is the neighborhood filter with radius $R_{sim}$. The weights of $F$ are computed by the following formula:

$$\frac{1}{R_{sim}}\sum_{i=0}^{R_{sim}-1} \frac{1}{(2*i+1)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

Where $m$ is the distance the weight is from the center of the filter. The filter gives more weight to pixels near the center of the neighborhood, and less weight to pixels near the edge of the neighborhood. The center weight of $F$ has the same weight as the pixels with a distance of one. Despite the filter's unique shape, the weights of filter $F$ do sum up to one.

3.3 Thresholding Based Denoising

The steps of threshold based denoising are:

(1) In Wavelet decomposition, a wavelet is chosen for determining its decomposing layers such as haar, db2, etc.

(2) Decomposition level is extracted according to optimal base of wavelet packet by calculating cost value of parent node and child nodes with help of log energy function.
(3) Select an optimum threshold value and do the thresholding to the coefficient of each sub-band for each level.

(4) After thresholding on all sub-bands for all levels, do wavelet reconstruction.

3.4 Threshold Selection
For CT images, selection of a threshold value is not an easy task. By selecting small threshold value, the result image may be noisy. And for large threshold value, the result image may be blurring. Both are not good for denoising. An optimal threshold algorithm is used for the selection of threshold and used as:

$$\lambda(s) = SW \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\text{sw}}} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

Where the noise variance can be estimated, as:

$$\sigma_{\text{sw}}^2 = \frac{\text{median}(|B(i,j)|)^2}{0.6745}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

Where, $\sigma_{\text{sw}}$ is variance of noiseless image for each sub-band and SW represents the weight value for each sub-band to all levels. SW can be calculated by addition of weight value for sub-band of horizontal and vertical direction.

3.5 Thresholding Algorithm
After selecting a threshold value, the process of thresholding is applied by selecting an appropriate algorithm. Hard thresholding and soft thresholding methods are very popular for thresholding. In hard threshold, each coefficient value is compared with threshold value and less than value is replaced by zero. In Soft threshold, replaced by zero process is same as in hard threshold, additionally rest of coefficients are modified by subtracting threshold values. In comparison of both, Soft thresholding gives better performance for visual appearance of images. But soft thresholding has a limitation with large coefficient values.

To overcome those limitations, an optimal linear interpolation (OLI) shrink algorithm is used for thresholding.

$$O(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0, & |B(i,j)| \leq \lambda_s \\ B(i,j) - \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{sw}}^2}{\sigma_{\text{sw}}} \right) \left( B(i,j) - \mu_s \right), & |B(i,j)| > \lambda_s \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

Where, $\mu_s$ is the mean value of the sub-band. The above thresholding function is obtained by combining the Shrink technique with the Bayesian MAP estimation.

IV. RESULT AND ANALYSIS
The experimental evaluation is performed on medical images is using two categories: synthetic (computer generated) and real medical image with size 512x512. Synthetic image as shown in Figure 4.1(a) is a phantom image, most widely used in image reconstruction.

Figure 4.1: (a) Synthetic image (b) Additive Gaussian noise synthetic image (c) Denoised synthetic image

Figure 4.2: (a) Real image (First) (b) Additive Gaussian noise image (First) (c) Denoised image (First)

Figure 4.3: (a) Real image (Second) (b) Additive Gaussian noise image (Second) (c) Denoised image (Second)
The zero mean Gaussian noise with varying standard deviation is added on synthetic as well as real medical images to generate noisy images. The generated noisy images with a Gaussian noise of mean zero & standard deviation 30 are shown in Figure 4.1(b), 4.2(b), 4.3(b) & 4.4(b). The Haar wavelet transform is applied to decompose the image into approximation and detail parts. Now, Two-way denoising method is applied. Final denoised medical image can be obtained by inverse wavelet decomposition. The reconstructed results are shown in Figure 4.1(c), 4.2(c), 4.3(c) & 4.4(c). The quality performances of medical images are measured by:

$$PSNR = 10 \log_{10} \frac{255^2 \cdot mn}{\sum_{i,j} (B(i,j) - \hat{B}(i,j))^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{dB} \hspace{1cm} (4.1)$$

Where m & n are the number of pixels in each column and row, respectively, $B(i,j)$ and $\hat{B}(i,j)$ are the original and reconstructed images.

V. CONCLUSION

The proposed method is applied on the basis of two-way filtering using wavelet thresholding and NLM filtering. PSNR of proposed methods is indicating that PSNR decreases with the increasing values of standard deviation of Gaussian noise. Although the computed PSNR values are satisfactory good and the mean error values are very small. Experimental results demonstrate significantly better image visual quality by reducing noise and preserving edges. The PSNR value and mean error indicating better performance of proposed method for additive Gaussian noise in synthetic as well as real medical images. The resultant images are in good quality for clinical diagnosis and may be supported for clinical applications by providing further control over image quality and analysis.
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